

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/841,397	04/30/97	MATSUOKA		s	15-4-499.00		
			$\neg$	EXAMINER			
		LM01/0803	•				
JOHN C PHI	LLIPS			DINH K	· .		
FISH & RIC	CHARDSON PC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
601 13TH S	STREET NW				11		
WASHINGTON	I DC 20005			2758			
				DATE MAILED:			
					08/03/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/841,397

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Dinh Khanh

Group Art Unit

Matsuoka

Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	H,

	Dinh Khanh	2758	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 19, 2000</u>			
☐ This action is FINAL.			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ot for formal matters, prosecution 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	on as to the me	erits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is a is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	set to expirethree month	d for reconne	مطع ممينهم الثيية
Disposition of Claims			
□ Claim(s) 1, 3-9, 11-18, and 20-25	is/are	pending in the	application.
Of the above, claim(s)			
Claim(s)			consideration.
N71 (1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	is		
Claim(s)			_
☐ Claims			
	are subject to restrict	on or election i	requirement.
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's P	wine Berdery, DTO 040		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	-		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		1	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is 🗆 approved 🗆	disapproved.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine:	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a/-/c	41	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie			
received.	- or the priority documents have	o boom	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)		
$\square$ received in this national stage application from			
*Certified copies not received:			·
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	,	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040		
<ul> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	-948		
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable subject matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1, 3-8, 11-18, 20-25 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Cohen et al, IEEE 1993, "Virtual gain for windows". Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 contains a minor editor related error. The phrase "wherein said mixing means" should be phrased in terms of the prior mentioned step.

In claim 18, "conferences" should be *conference*.

Corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruno et al U.S. pat. No. 5,710,591 in view of Cohen et al, IEEE 1993, "Virtual gain for audio windows."

Page 3

As to claim 1, Bruno discloses an audio conference server (ACS) for enabling an application program to provide multi-point (22a, 22b, 22c fig.1) comprising:

- means for managing at least one audio conference, said at least one audio conference comprising a plurality of audio clients (12a, 12b, 12c fig.1)
- means for receiving (MCU 26 fig.1) audio data from said plurality of audio clients (see fig.1 and col.1 lines 29-51).

Bruno does not specifically disclose the mixer for audio data. However, Cohen discloses means for mixing said audio data to provide spatialized audio to said plurality of audio clients in said at least one audio conference, wherein said fixing means results in mixed audio data (see Cohen's audio mixers, see page 85, section 0.1), and means for delivering said mixed audio data to said plurality of audio clients in said at least one audio conference ( transfering data to multiple audio resources, see page 85, section 0.1) and a mixing means for providing distance-based attenuation according to sound decay characteristics (the distance -dependent gain parameter used in MAW (moving source/moving sink), see Cohen's section 1.2, distance dependent-gain and fig.3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

Application/Control Number: 08/841,397

Art Unit: 2758

was made to utilize Cohen's audio data mixer in Bruno's audio conference server to control the volume of a sound source and a listener because it would have allowed multiple simultaneous audio sources to coexist in a modifiable display without user stress (see Cohen's section 0.1).

Page 4

As to claim 3, Bruno teaches checking the status of a registered owner of said at least one audio conference to determine whether said at least one audio conference still exists (detecting the location of signal to identify at least one terminal device, see abstract and col.12 lines 20-52).

As to claim 4, Bruno further discloses checking means including a resource audit service (multiple control unit MCU 26 of fig.1), said resource audit service operable when said at least one audio conference is generated by a first application and is being used by a second application (a presentation mode can be seen by other conferees, see abstract and col. 4 line 54- col.5 line 40).

As to claim 5, Bruno further discloses a plurality of audio clients includes set top box (STB) audio clients and point source audio (PSA) audio clients (audio sources and the participants of the teleconference, see col.7 lines 27-64).

Application/Control Number: 08/841,397

Art Unit: 2758

As to claim 7, Cohen discloses means for determining distance between a target audio client and a plurality of source audio clients, means for determining a plurality of weight values for each of said source audio clients based on an identified decay factor (distance-dependent gain parameter used in MAW, see Cohen's section 1.2) and a distance between each of said source audio client and a target audio client, wherein each of said weight values corresponds to a source/client pair (see Cohen's section 1.2, fig.3), means for generating a mix table (mixing board, see Cohen's section 0.1) for each source/client pair and means for calculating an actual mix (calculating parameters, see Cohen's section 0.1).

Page 5

Cohen further discloses a continued gradual decay characteristics (see Cohen's fig.3). Therefore, Cohen inherently discloses an audio big decay factor, an audio small decay factor, an audio medium decay factor and an constant decay factor.

Claims 9 and 18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claim 1.

Claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claim 3.

Claims 13 and 22 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claim 5.

Claims 12 and 21 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claim 4.

Claims 20 and 24 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claims 3 and 7.

5. Claims 6, 14-16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braun and Cohen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chau et al U. S. Pat. No.5,764,750.

As to claim 6, Braun and Cohen's teachings still applied as in item 4 above, but neither Braun nor Cohen discloses a providing program access to high level methods for creating and managing a proxy audio conference. However, Chau et al disclose a providing program access to high level methods for creating and managing a proxy audio conference (see abstract, fig.2 and col.5 lines 1-col.6 lines 35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize Chau et al's proxy server in Braun's audio conference server because it would have provided the capabilities required of endpoints by the local system and its protocol in order to allow the local and the remote endpoints to communicate with each other ( see Chau's summary).

As to claims 14, 15, 16 and 23, it is similar in scope as that of claim 6, and therefore is rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claim 6.

6. Claims 8, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruno et al U.S. pat. No. 5,710,591 and Cohen as in claims 1 and 7 above and further in view of Everett US pat. No.5,864,816.

As to claim 8, Braun and Cohen's teachings still applied as in item 4 above. Neither Braun nor Cohen discloses a fade in/fade out function (scale factors) to avoid the delivery of said data in a step-wise manner to a speaker output (see abstract, col.1 line 57 to col.2 line 22).

However, Everett discloses:

A floating point operation elimination function (see 40 of fig.2) to avoid the performance of floating point multiplication (identifying scale factor functions to determine the excess of a predetermined threshold, see col.2 lines 30-63, col.4 lines 10-54).

A stream data function to prepare stream audio (MPEG streams) for playing ambient background music or using an audio source forwarded from another conference (see fig.1, col.3 lines 20-65).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Everett's teachings into Braun's audio system to facilitate the mixings of data streams because it would have facilitated the mixings of audio data in compressed forms.

As to claim 17, it is similar in scope as that of claims 7 and 8, and therefore is rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claims 7 and 8.

As to claim 25, it is similar in scope as that of claim 8, and therefore is rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 08/841,397 Page 8

Art Unit: 2758

### Other Art cited

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- a. Cohen et al, IEEE 1993: Augmented audio reality: telepresence/VR hybrid acoustic environments.
- b. Cohen et al, International Journal 1991: Multidimensional audio window management.
- c. Ludwig et al, Computer, August 1990: Extending the notion of a window system to audio.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Claims 1, 3-8, 11-18, 20-25 are **rejected**.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsucessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-7201.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Khanh Dinh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2758 7/25/2000

ZARNI MAUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER